ABRI Monographs

Evidently, all three quantities must have the same dimensionality. Since the dimensionality of M is that of a frequency -

$$\frac{\text{magnetic dipole moment}}{\text{volume}} = \int = \frac{\ell^3 t^{-1}}{\ell^3} = \int = t^{-1}$$

H and B would also have to be frequencies. The measure of H, therefore, could never be that of a current over a distance s, and would comply instead with the identity $\int H ds = 4\pi I_{free}/c$.

If the dimensionality of H is that of a frequency, t⁻¹, then the integral becomes dimensionally equivalent to a velocity function:

$$\int H \, ds = 4\pi \, I_{\text{free}}/c = \int = (\ell^2 t^{-2}/\ell t^{-1}) = \ell t^{-1}$$

Assuming that $H = \int t^{-1}$ also remains consistent with the dimensionality of the curl of H in the cgs system:

curl H = curl(B - 4
$$\pi$$
M) = (4 π /c) * J_{free} = $\int = (\ell^2 t^{-2}/\ell^2)/\ell t^{-1} = \ell^{-1} t^{-1}$

Furthermore, it is in the same cgs system that one assumes the permeability of 'the vacuum' to be unity, such that in 'a vacuum' there is no essential distinction between **B** and **H** - as μ = 1, and thus

 $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}$

and as M = 0, thus

 $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{B}$

This is, in fact, the reason often cited $^{(2)}$ to explain why Maxwell wrote his equation for vacuum fields employing E and H and not E and B and why, likewise, it is customary to use E and H to describe the functions of electromagnetic waves.

5. To summarize, then: in the SI/mks system(s), the definition of H appears to have a definite dimensionality - that of acceleration: